

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/595,712	HOSHINO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thomas E. Lazo	3745	

All Participants:

(1) Thomas E. Lazo (USPTO).

(2) David E. Spaw (34,732).

Date of Interview: 7 March 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
16

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
An agreement was reached to more clearly claim the invention

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 0900

/Thomas E. Lazo/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3745

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)